

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

RONALD NEAL JOSEPH, SR., et al.

Plaintiffs,

vs.

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE  
 DEPARTMENT, et al.

Defendants.

2:09-cv-00966-HDM-LRL

**ORDER ON PLAINTIFFS' OBJECTION  
 TO MAGISTRATE'S RULING**

Before the court is plaintiffs' motion objecting to magistrate's ruling (#31). On September 7, 2010, the court held a hearing in which it addressed one aspect of plaintiffs' objection – the depositions of plaintiffs in Las Vegas – which it denied without prejudice. Consequently, plaintiffs' motion objecting to magistrate's ruling (#31) was denied in part and reserved in part. See Minutes of Proceedings Docket #35. The remaining issues of the motion (#31) were reserved until briefing. *Id.*

Defendants responded to plaintiffs motion objecting to magistrate's ruling on September 7, 2010 (#34). Plaintiffs' reply was due September 17, 2010. No reply was filed.

1 The court has considered the pleadings and memoranda of the parties and other relevant  
2 matters of record with respect to the remaining portions of plaintiffs' motion objecting to  
3 magistrate's ruling (#31). Accordingly, the remaining portions of plaintiffs' objection to  
4 magistrate's ruling (#31) are DENIED. Plaintiffs shall have twenty (20) days from the date of this  
5 order in which to comply with the magistrate judge's ruling (#29).

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 DATED: September 24, 2010.

8  
9 

10 

---

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE